Art Unit: 3621

#### REMARKS

The Office Action dated February 2, 2004, has been read and carefully considered and the present amendment is submitted to clarify the present invention and to distinguish that the invention over the cited references. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, Claims 1 and 42-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, for allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Also, Claims 1, 18, 35 and 40-46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 4,995,081 to Leighton et al. ("the Leighton et al. patent") and Claims 48-50 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 5,468,874 to Kristol et al. ("the Kristol et al. patent"). Further, Claims 2-17, 19-28, 36, 37 and 39 were rejected as allegedly obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over the Leighton et al. patent in view of "Best Recommendations For Use of Magnetic Stripes, American Association of Motor Vehicles Administrators, Ver. 2.0, April 1996 ("the AAMVA reference") and Claims 29-34 and 38 were rejected as allegedly obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over the Leighton et al. patert and the AAMVA reference and further in view of U.S. Patent no. 5,7225,526 to Sharrard. ("the Sharrard patent"). Lastly, claim 47 was rejected as allegedly obvious under 35 U S.C. § 103 over the Leighton et al. patent in view of the Sherrard patent.

In response, claims 1-50 were canceled and new claims 51-76 have been added to now recite apparatus and methods for reading information from a driver license in an age-related activity in a more particular fashion. No new matter has been added. For the Examiner's convenience, the a tached Table A provides a cross-reference between the added claims and citations to the portions of the specification providing corresponding support.

## New Claims 51-76

In general added independent apparatus claim 51 and its dependent claims 52-63 are directed to an apparatus having an information reader for reading information from a driver license, a processor for executing instructions to determine an issuing jurisdiction identifier from Art Unit: 3621

the information read from the driver license, and then extracting date of birth and expiration date information from the read information based on an expected organizational format corresponding to the determined jurisdiction identifier. These claims further specify that the processor checks the extracted date of birth and/or expiration date information for conformance to predetermined values. If this check is successful, an age is calculated and displayed. If not, an indication of an unsuccessful check is displayed.

+9735960545

In a corresponding manner, added independent method claim 62 and its dependent claims 63-76 are directed to a method for reading information from a driver license in an age-related activity including reading machine-readable information from a driver license (e.g. magnetic or bar code information) determining an issuing jurisdiction identifier from such information, and then extracting date of birth and expiration date information from the read information based on an expected organizational format corresponding to the determined jurisdiction identifier. These method claims further specify that the extracted date of birth and/or expiration date information is checked for conformance to predetermined values. If this check is successful, an age is calculated and displayed. If not, an indication of an unsuccessful check is displayed.

The corresponding dependent claims particularly point out and further limit features of the invention claimed in claims 51 and 64. In particular, claims 52 and 65 are directed to verifying a parity checksum of the data read from the driver license; claims 53 and 54, and 66 and 67 add checking for the absence of expected information based on the determined issuing jurisdiction identifier; claims 55 and 68 recite that jurisdiction character set information is also determined and the check instruction further checks the jurisdiction character set information for conformance to associated values, claims 56, 57, 69, 70 provide for the displaying of an expiration date or driver license number information; claims 58 through 61 and 71-74 are further limit where the apparatus would operate and where the method would be performed, e.g., for ingress to a gambling establishment or with a vending machine; and claims 62 and 63, and 75 and 76 are directed to the use of magnetic and barcode reading of the driver license information.

Art Unit: 3621

# 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103 Rejections

Applicant respectfully submits that the prior art references relied on in the Office Action, namely the Leighton et al. patent, the Kristol et al. patent, the AAMVA reference and the Sharrard patent do not disclose, teach or suggest, alone or in combination, the features claimed in added claims 51-76. More specifically, these references, alone or in combination, do not show apparatus or methods with the limitations of added claims 51 and 64 of (1) determining a jurisdiction identifier from information read from the driver license and extracting the date of birth and expiration date information from the read information based on an expected corresponding to the determined jurisdiction identifier, and (2) checking the extracted date of birth and/or expiration date information for conformance to predetermined values and calculating and displaying an age for a successful check or providing an indication of an unsuccessful check.

#### The Leighton et al. patent

The Leighton et al. patent teaches a technique for personal identification cards, such as credit cards or driver licenses, that employs a password (which need not be memorized by the card holder), and encryption for preventing the unauthorized use thereof. In particular, an authorized user of the card is assigned a password having a first portion (public-key) assigned by the issuer and a second portion (private-key) generated based on a "public" characteristic or the user. Examples of the "public" characteristic or the user can be data representing a pictorial representation of a physical characteristic of the authorized user, such as the face, fingerprint, voice sample, user's age or address. The password is encrypted to produce a digital "signature" which along with the password is stored on the card.

In order to authorize a transaction at a transaction terminal with the card, the digital "signature" must be read and be shown to have been generated from the password of the received card. The transaction terminal further processes the decrypted password to display a representation of the encoded user's characteristic, such as the digitized pictorial representation of the authorized user's face. The operator of the transaction terminal then compares and verifies

Art Unit: 3621

the displayed authorized user's characteristic to the corresponding characteristic of the card holder in order to authorize the transaction. Col. 6, lines 4-31, describes a multi-issuer implementation where dards issued by different issuers can be processed at a single transaction terminal. The transaction terminal would have recorded the respective public-key password portions for the respect ve issuers and the operator would enter the identity of the issuer or it would be encoded on the card.

However, the I eighton et al. patent fails to teach or suggest using an identifier of an issuing jurisdiction to letermine the organizational format of the information recorded on the card and to correspondingly extract date of birth and expiration date information from the information read from the driver license based on an expected organizational format corresponding to the determined jurisdiction identifier. No where does the Leighton et al. patent suggest that machine readable information can be organized based on different arrangements for different respective is suing jurisdictions. The Leighton et al. patent only discusses the use of different public encryption keys for respective different issuing jurisdictions.

Moreover, the Leighton et al. patent does not teach or suggest a verification of the card that without involving intervention by the operator of a transactional terminal. Accordingly, the Leighton et al. patent does not teach or suggest checking of the date of birth and/or expiration date information for conformance to predetermined values and, then, calculating an age and displaying it for a successful check, and further displaying an indication of an unsuccessful check.

In light of the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection based on this reference is respectfully requested.

## The Kristol et al. patent

The Kristo et al. patent adds little or nothing to the teaching of the Leighton et al. patent relative to the added new claims 51-76. The Kristol et al. patent relates to an identification card verification system that employs a recorded image "signature" in a similar manner to that described in the Leighton et al. patent. However, the Kristol et al. patent describes a verification

T-658 P.013/020 F-412

Serial No. 10/077,641

From-Gibbons Deldeo Dolan

Art Unit: 3621

system that does not rely on a transactional terminal operator to provide the verification as is taught by the Leighton et al. patent. Instead, the Kristol et al. patent teaches an identification card that uses the recorded image "signature" to identify whether someone has tampered with or substituted the card holder's photograph carried on the card.

More specifically, the Kristol et al. patent teaches a verification system that employs an identification card that carries an image and a corresponding recorded image "signature," wherein the image "signature" is derived from optical values contained within the image at specific reference points. In operation, the verification system scans the image on the identification card for optical values at the specific reference points which are then compared to the corresponding recorded image "signature" to verify that there have been no alterations to the card. See col. 1, lines 14-18, col. 2, lines 21-33 and lines 54-61.

Thus, the Kristol et al. patent merely teaches a self-verifying identification system and in no way addresses the problem of age verification for an age-related activity as claimed in added. claims 51-76 different formats in various jurisdictions. As a consequence, the Kristol et al. patent does not teach any apparatus or method that determines and uses an identifier of an issuing jurisdiction to determine the organizational format of the information recorded on a driver license and to correspondingly extract date of birth, and expiration date information from the information read from the driver license based on an expected organizational format corresponding to the determined jurisdiction identifier. Nor does the Kristol et al. patent teach that machine-readable information can be organized in different arrangements based on different respective issuing jurisdictions or that the extracted information may be checked for conformance to predetermined values and, if this check is successful and age is calculated and displayed. If not successful, an indication of the unsuccessful check is displayed. Accordingly, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection based on the Kristol et al. patent is earnestly solicited.

The AAMVA reference

Art Unit: 3621

The AAMVA reference fails to disclose, teach or suggest any technique for reading information from a driver license for use in an age related-activity as claimed in added claims 51-76. Nor does this reference teach or suggest any technique for verifying a license bearer's age. The AAMVA reference, pp. 8-12, merely specifies data field formats for the three tracks of a magnetic strip for an dentification card.

Moreover, the AMVA reference teaches away from any combination with other systems that would inhibit the sharing of data contained within the magnetic stripe data fields such as those systems hat rely on password protected and encrypted magnetic stripe data fields, including those described in the Leighton et al. and Kristol et al. patents. More specifically, the AAMVA reference, p 5, first paragraph, states that the intent of the document is to "describe a "BEST PRACTICE" hat would increase the use and sharability of the data that is represented in the license/identification" card. The same paragraph of the AAMVA reference further specifies that the intent of this best practices document is "to ensure that the machine readable content of a driver license/identification would be in a format that would be consistent and shared with other entities interested in using the license for information."

The password protection or encrypting of the data contained in the data fields of the Leighton et al. and Kristol et al. patents would inhibit, i.e., not ensure, the sharing of such data and thwart the intent of this reference. The Leighton et al. and Kristol et al. patents teach password protected and encrypted data fields on the magnetic stripe for the contrary purpose of protecting and not broadly sharing the data contained therein. As a consequence, the AAMVA reference and the Leighton et al. and Kristol et al. patents respectively teach away from their combination. Accordingly, one skilled in the art at the time that the claimed invention was made would not combine these references having contrary purposes in the reading and use of data contained on magnetic stripes. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection based on the AAMVA reference be withdrawn.

--10-

T-858 P.015/020 F-412

Serial No. 10/077,641 Art Unit: 3621

## The Sharrard Parent

The Sharrard palent discloses a device that attaches to a vending machine that dispenses age restricted products, such as eigarettes or beer. The vending machine includes a slot to receive the driver's license, and an optical reader then reads the date of birth from the driver's license. A microprocessor in the device calculates the individual's age based upon the birth date on the driver's license. If the individual is under a predetermined age then a diverter switch directs the coins to pass directly to the coin return, thereby preventing dispensing of product. If the individual is of legal age, the diverter switch allows coins to pass into the vending machine and product to be dispensed.

The Sharrard patent fails to teach checking an expiration date or a technique for reading driver licenses of multiple jurisdictions having different data organization formats of the present invention as is claimed in added claims 51-76. As a consequence, the Sharrard patent fails to teach or suggest the claimed features of employing an identifier of an issuing jurisdiction to determine the organization format of the information recorded on a driver license and to correspondingly extract date of birth and expiration date information from the information read from the driver license based on an expected information format corresponding to the determined jurisdiction identifier.

Combining the password protected and encrypted data format of the magnetic stripes, based on different is suing jurisdictions or otherwise, of the Leighton et al. patent with the teachings of the Sharrard patent still does not show the use of issuing jurisdiction identifiers to determine the organization format of the information recorded on a driver license and to correspondingly extract date of birth, and expiration date information from the information read from the driver license based on an expected information format corresponding to the jurisdiction identif er as is claimed in added claims 51-76. The further addition of the recommended data field format taught in the AAMVA reference does little or nothing to show these claimed features. Accordingly, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §103 rejections based on the Sharrard patent is respectfully requested.

From-Gibbons Deldeo Dolan

Art Unit: 3621

# 35 U.S.C. §112 Rejection

The terms identified by the Office Action that gave rise to the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection have been omitted in the new claims 51-76. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is also respectfully requested.

## Correspondence

Please address all correspondence to Intellectual Property Docket Administrator, Gibbons, Del Deo, Do an, Griffinger & Vecchione, One Riverfront Plaza, Newark, NJ 07102-5496. Telephone calls should be made to Robert E. Rudnick at (973) 596-4727 and fax communications should be sent directly to him at (973) 639-8318.

If any additional fees are due in respect to this amendment, please also charge them to Deposit Account No. 03-3839.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert E. Rudnick, Esq.

Reg. No. 36,260

Attorney for Applicants

Phone (973) 596-4727

Please address all communications to:

Intellectual Property Docket Administrator Gibbons, Del Dec, Dolan, Griffinger & Vecchione

One Riverfront Plaza

Newark, New Jersey 07102-5496

Serial No. 10/077,641 Art Unit: 3621

#### Table A

Table A	Citations in the	
	Claims	Specification
1 An apparatus for re	Ading information from a direct desired	Page 2, lines 6-15.
or use in an age-related	activity combara	Fig. 1, ref. nos. 30 and 32.
an information information from said of	leader for reading indefinite real	Page 7, lines 1-3.
	onsive to said machine readable d information reader and operable to	Fig. 1, ref. no. 12 and page 5, lines 5-8.
	rmine an identifier corresponding to an the driver license from said read	Fig. 4A, ref. no. 222 and page 14, lines 28-30.
(b) extinformation from said organizational formatidentifier,	act date of birth and expiration date read information based on an corresponding to said determined	Fig. 2A, ref. nos. 120, 126 and 128. Page 11, lines 35- 39.
and extracted expirate	ck at least one of the extracted date of birth on date information for conformance to , and	
(d) ca	culate an age in response to successful y instruction (c); and	Fig. 3, ref. 172. Page 13, lines 4-6.
a display rest display at least said unsuccessful check	consive to said processor and operable to calculated age and to indicate an or conformance by instruction (c).	Page 19, lines 3-6.
	f claim 51 wherein the processor also instruction to determine and verify parity	Fig. 4B, ref. no. 308. Page 18, line 39 to page 19, line 1.
53. The apparatus executes at least on expected information	of claim 51 wherein the processor also e instruction to check for an absence of on in said read information based on said	Page 18, lines 1-5.
determined identifi	er.	k Fig. 4A, ref. nos. 234, 240.

Serial No. 10/077,641 Art Unit: 3621

	a sheek for blank	Page 16, lines 3-4.
for the absence of expec	red information is a check for blank	
tracks.		
	determine instruction	Page 10, lines 2-10.
55 The apparatus of cl	him 51 wherein the determine instruction	
further determines juris	liction character set information and the	l l
		l l
check instruction for confort	nance to associated values.	
information for comos-		Page 9, lines 5-10, Fig. 2A,
of Other apparatus of C	aim 51 wherein the processor is further	ref. no. 120 and Fig. 2B, ref.
56. The apparatus of the	ructions to cause the display to indicate	no. 134.
operable to execute ins	1 40010110 11	ηο. 154.
said expiration date.		Page 9, lines 5-10, Fig. 2A,
of c	laim 51 wherein the processor is further	Page 9, lines 3-10, 118, 219,
57. The apparatus of C	marions to extract driver license number	ref. no. 126 and Fig. 2B, ref.
operable to execute in	tructions to extract driver license number	no. 134.
Linformation and to ext	Cite manachair to one	1
indicate said driver lic	ense number.	6.00
	st whomin said age restricted	Page 2, lines 6-15.
58. The apparatus of	laim 51 wherein said age restricted	
	WOULD UN A DEGLET OF DIME are	1
ingress to an establish	ment with an age requirement.	
	i describighment is 8	Page 2, line 9.
59 The apparatus of	claim 58 wherein said establishment is a	
gambling establishme	nt.	
		Page 2, line 8.
60 The apparatus o	claim 51 wherein said apparatus is	1-46-1
sounled to a vending	machine of tobacco and/or alcohol	
products.		
		Page 2, line 8 and page 24,
C1 The apperails O	claim 51 wherein said age restricted	
		II lines 22-20.
activity is the age to	hacco and/or alcohol products.	
a point of sale for to		is Fig. 1, ref. no. 32 and page 7,
	f claim 51 wherein said information reader	15 Fig. 1, ter. no. 32 and page
62. The apparatus c	Ciamist	lines 1-3.
a magnetic reader.		1 1 1 1 2 20 Page 7
	f claim 51 wherein said information reader	is Hig. 1, ref. no. 30. 1 age /
63. The apparatus of	I Claim of wherem	lines 1-3.
a bar code reader.		
	eading information from a driver license for	Page 2, lines 6-15.
64. A method for I	Laming injuring comprising the steps of:	
use in an age-restri	ted activity compliants was a ser	100
	nachine readable information from a drive	Fig. 1, ref. nos. 30 and 32.
(a) reading	nachine readable information more	Page 7, lines 1-3.
license;	1	
	is a semananding to an igsui	ng Fig. 4A, ref. no. 222,
(b) determi	ning an identifier corresponding to an issui	

Serial No. 10/077,641 Art Unit: 3621

		page 14, lines 28-30.
	it area from said read littorman	
urisdiction of the driver	license nom sale and	Fig. 2A, ref. nos. 120, 126
		and 128. Page 11, lines 35-
(c) extracting da	e of birth and expiration date ead information based on an expected expending to said determined	and 128. Page 11, miles
nformation from said r	ead information based on the	39.
reconigational format co	orresponding to said determined	
identifier;		10.10
identifici,	ar inh and expiration	Page 19, lines 3 and 8-10.
(d) sheck at leas	t one of the date of birth and expiration	\ \
(a) check in 10m	t one of the date of british and values;	
date information for co		Fig. 3, ref. no. 172. Page 3,
- lauloring	in age and displaying at least said	lines 4-6.
(e) carchianing	an age and displaying at least sale in age and displaying at least	Into 3 4 c.
calculated age in respo	USC TO SHOOTHAI	
and		10 lines 3-6
	n indication in response to an	Page 19, lines 3-6.
(f) displaying	U iudication in reaborres 4-	1
unsuccessful check by	instruction (d).	209
шин	ising the step of	Fig. 4B, ref. no. 308.
65 The method of cli	im 64 further comprising the step of	Page 18, line 39 to page 19,
65. The field and verif	ying parity checksum of said read	line 1.
determining and vozzz	, <u></u>	
information.		Page 18, lines 1-5.
1 1 2 2 2	aim 64 further comprising the steps	1 25 7
66. The method of c	of expected information in said read	
checking for absence	of expected information of exp	
Linformation hased ou	Part Colorado	s Fig. 4A, ref. nos. 234, 240.
	to be sin said checking step include	s Fig. 4A, tel. 1103. 22 1,
67. The method of c	laim 66 wherein said checking step include	Page 16, lines 3-4.
I shecking tot DIANK 4	MCK3.	2.10
Cheoking	laim 64 wherein step (d) further comprises	Page 10, lines 2-10.
69. The method of	laim 64 wherein step (a) luttler compensation and said	
oa. The memoral	tion character set information and said tion character set information and said and least one of the date of birth, expiration	
determining Juristic	tion character set information to the date of birth, expiration at least one of the date of birth, expiration.	<b>`</b>
date and character's	et information.	
date and character's	Et Illioima	Page 9, lines 5-10, Fig. 2A,
	claim 64 further comprising the step of	ref. no. 120 and Fig. 2B, ref.
69. The method of	CIMILE OF THE STATE T	124
displaying said exp	Tanon date.	no. 134.
1		Page 9, lines 5-10, Fig. 2A,
	of farther comprising the step of	Page 9, lines J-10, 116. and
70. The apparatus	of claim 64 further comprising the step of	ref. no. 126 and Fig. 2B, ref.
displaying said dri	ver license number.	no. 134.
atshirtan P and		
\	also stop of	Fig. 4D, ref. no. 410.
	f claim 64 further comprising the step of	
The mathod of		ων ( <del>- πο</del> - ·
71. The method o	eation for granting or denying a direct need	<b>\</b>
71. The method of displaying an indi	f claim 64 further comprising the step cation for granting or denying a driver licer n establishment with an age requirement.	

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

#### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

#### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.